GB1445239

03

Publication Title:

METHOD OF DECOMPOSING AMMONIA

Abstract:

Courtesy of http://v3.espacenet.com

PATENT SPECIFICATION

(21) Application No. 38474/74 (22) Filed 3 Sept. 1974

(31) Convention Application Nos. 102 933/73 and 102 934/73

(32) Filed 12 Sept. 1973 in

(33) Japan (JA)

(44) Complete Specification published 4 Aug. 1976.

(51) INT CL² B01D 53/34; C01B 1/04

(52) Index at acceptance

C1A K6 S211 S21Y S471 C491 S601 S602 S60X S60Y S682 SA

(11) 1 445 239



(54) METHOD OF DECOMPOSING AMMONIA

(71) We, MITSUBISHI CHEMICAL INDUSTRIES LTD., a Japanese Company, of 5—2, Marunouchi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan, do hereby declare the invention, for which we pray that a patent may be granted to us and the method by which it is to be performed, to be particularly described in and by the following statement:—

The present invention relates to a method of decomposing ammonia to form nitrogen and more particularly, it relates to a method of effectively removing ammonia in effluent gas

by catalytic decomposition.

In many cases, ammonia is contained in 15 effluent gas discharged from an industrial plant or a copying machine. The discharge of ammonia to the atmosphere causes pollution of the operational environment and also external air pollution.

It is quite important to remove ammonia from effluent gas. When the ammonia content in the discharged gas is relatively high, it is possible to apply an absorption method using

dilute sulfuric acid.

However, when the ammonia content is relatively small and the total amount of the discharged gas is large, it has not been possible to find a suitable method of removing ammonia effectively. In the former absorption method, treatment of the discharged waste solution is required.

In an absorption method using a molecular sieve, it is required to apply complicated steps for reactivating the molecular sieve. The cost required for purification by these methods, is

It is known to use a method of catalytic decomposition of ammonia to form nitrogen as

the principal end product.

quite high.

In the known methods, the formation of toxic nitrogenous materials such as NO or NO₂ (hereinafter referred to as NO_x) in the decomposition step is serious. In practice, these methods are insufficient as a detoxifying process.

45 cess.

The inventor of the present invention attempted to provide a method of efficiently decomposing ammonia in waste gas to form

nitrogen, and has found that ammonia can be easily decomposed to nitrogen without the formation of NO_x by catalytic decomposition using a specific catalyst under specific conditions, whereby the waste gas can be detoxified.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method of oxidative decomposition of ammonia to form nitrogen by a relatively

simple operation.

It is another object of the invention to provide a method of treating an ammonia-containing gas discharged from a large size copying

machine.

According to the invention there is provided a method of decomposing ammonia to form nitrogen which comprises catalytically contacting a gas mixture containing ammonia and oxygen, the molar ratio of oxygen to ammonia being 3:1 or more, with a ruthenium and/or a platinum catalyst at 150—400°C.

In the operation of the method of the invention, the gas mixture containing ammonia and oxygen can be diluted with an inert gas such as nitrogen. Inert gas means a gas which is not active in the oxidation reaction of ammonia.

It is preferable to use the method with a lgas mixture containing a relatively small ammonia content such as 2% or less by volume of the total gas and an oxygen content of 1—22% by volume.

When the molar ratio of oxygen to ammonia in an effluent gas is within the range of the invention, the effluent gas can be directly treated by the method of the invention.

The gas discharged from diazo-type copying machines such as those used for copying various documents, e.g. office papers and slip plans, usually contains less than 2% of ammonia, usually 500—3,000 ppm of ammonia.

The catalytic method of the invention can be directly applied to such effluent gas.

The platinum or ruthenium of the metal component of the catalyst used in the invention is preferably supported on a carrier, such as one made of alumina, silica-alumina, silica, diatomaceous earth, titania or zirconia.

The production of NO_x is dependent upon

50

55

...

-0 v

.

80

85

90

95

×120

45

50

55

65

115

the kind of carrier used for the platinum and/or ruthenium catalyst.

Under the same conditions, the production of NO_x increases in the order: α-alumina,

silica-alumina (low alumina content) γ-alumina, silica, silica-alumina (high alumina con-

Accordingly, it is preferable to use α -alumina, γ-alumina or silica-alumina (low alumina content) as the carrier from the viewpoint of inhibiting the formation of NOz.

The platinum and/or ruthenium supported on the carrier is usually in the range of $\bar{0}.01$ -

2% by weight of the carrier.

15 The shape of the carrier can be honeycomb,

spherical or pellet-like in shape.

The catalyst supporting the platinum and/or ruthenium can be prepared by dipping the carrier in an aqueous solution containing a catalytic metal compound (e.g. chloride) and reducing the compound with a reducing agent such as formaldehyde or hydrazine in the liquid phase, or reducing the dried compound in a hydrogen atmosphere. The resulting catalyst is active at 150-400°C, preferably 150-300°C, especially 180-260°C

Below 150°C, the catalytic activity is insufficient and above 400°C, the formation of NO_x is too high to attain the object of the

invention.

The catalyst used in the invention can be used with a gas flow at a space velocity of 1000—100,000 hr⁻¹, preferably 5,000—40,000 hr⁻¹. Even though sufficient ammonia conversion can be attained by contacting the gas with a platinum or a ruthenium catalyst used singly, it is more effective to combine the steps of contacting the gas first with a ruthenium catalyst and then with a platinum catalyst, as the formation of both NO_x and N₂O can be decreased.

In a two step process of this invention, the ruthenium catalyst is used as the first catalyst layer, wherein most of the ammonia is converted to nitrogen.

However, the gas passed from the first catalyst layer contains some by-product NOz.

Accordingly, the gas is subsequently contacted with a platinum catalyst in the second catalyst layer to reduce the NOx with the remaining ammonia to nitrogen.

The molar ratio of NH3/NOx in the gas fed to the second catalyst layer after the first catalytic reaction, is preferably in a range of 1-10:1. The temperature of each catalyst layer is selected from the range of 150-400°C. It is convenient to employ a single reactor filled with ruthenium and platinum catalysts in two layers.

The two step process of this invention has an advantage in reducing the formation of both NOx and N2O which would not be completely avoided by using either a ruthenium or

a platinum catalyst singly.

As stated above, in accordance with the invention, ammonia in an effluent gas can be effectively decomposed to form nitrogen and water, whereby the gas containing ammonia can be detoxified and the operational environment can be improved and the air pollution can be prevented.

The invention will be further illustrated by

reference to examples.

In the examples, the concentration of ammonia in the outlet gas discharged from the reactor was measured by collecting it in a dilute sulfuric acid trap and analyzing by a titration method using methyl orange as indicator. The concentration of N2O was measured by gas chromatography and the concentration of NO_x was measured by the chemiluminescence method with a chemiluminescent NOx analyzer (nitrogen oxide analyzer CLM-201 manufactured by Shimadzu Seisakusho K.K.). The NH₃ conversion was calculated by the equation

 NH_3 (inlet) - $[NH_3$ (outlet) + NO_x (outlet)] NH₃ % conversion = $\times 100$ NH₃ (inlet)

Example 1.

20 ml of pellet-type α-alumina having a 90 surface area of less than 10m²/g, a diameter of 3/16" and a length of 3/16" was immersed in 20 ml of an aqueous solution containing 0.893 g of chloroplatinic acid at room temperature for 16 hours. After drying the pro-95 duct at 90°C for 2 hours, samples of the product were reduced in a hydrogen atmosphere at 100°C, 200°C, 300°C and 400°C respectively for 1 hour each, to give an α -alumina catalyst supporting 0.5 wt.% of platinum.

20 ml of the catalyst was charged to a reactor. Air containing 3,000 ppm of ammonia was introduced into the reactor at a rate of 200 1/hr, thus establishing a space velocity of 10,000 hr-1. The outlet gas discharged from the reactor was sampled and analyzed and the 105 catalytic activity of the catalyst was measured. The results are shown in Table 1.

Example 2.

20 ml of pellet-type silica-alumina having a surface area of 400 m²/g, a diameter of 6 mm 110 and length of 5 mm (composition 86-88% SiO₂, 12-14% Al₂O₃ and less than 0.1% Fe₂O₃) was immersed in 20 ml of an aqueous solution containing 0.392 g of chloroplatinic acid at room temperature for 16 hours.

The product was treated in accordance with Example 1 to give a silica-alumina catalyst supporting 0.5 wt.% of platinum. The catalytic

45

activity of the resulting catalyst was measured by using 20 ml of the catalyst according to the procedures described in Example 1. The results are shown in Table 1.

Example 3.

15 ml of spherical alumina having a surface area of 250—350 m²/g and a diameter of 4—6 mm (composition 0.2% Na₂O, 0.03% SiO₂, 97.5—98.7% Al₂O₃, 0.03% Fe₂O₃ and 1.0—2.0% ignition loss) was immersed in 20 ml of an aqueous solution containing 0.172 g of chloroplatinic acid and treated in accordance with Example 1 to give a γ-alumina catalyst supporting 0.5 wt.% of platinum.

15 ml of the catalyst was charged to a reactor. Air containing 2,700 ppm of NH₃ was introduced to the gas inlet of the reactor at a rate of 150 l/hr, thus establishing a space velocity of 10,000 hr⁻¹. The results of the analysis of the gas discharged are shown in Table 1.

Example 4.

20 ml of pellet-type silica having a surface area of 150 m²/g, a diameter of 6 mm and a height of 5 mm (composition 93—95% SiO₂, 0.5% Al₂O₃, 0.5% Fe₂O₃ and 4—6% ignition loss) was immersed in 20 ml of an aqueous solution containing 0.31 g of chloroplatinic acid and treated in accordance with Example 1.

The catalytic activity of the resulting cata-

lyst is shown in Table 1.

Example 5.

The process of Example 1 was repeated using a pellet-type silica-alumina having a surface area of 250 m²/g, a diameter of 6 mm and a length of 5 mm (composition of 73—75% SiO₂, 25—27% Al₂O₃ and less than 1% Fe₂O₃) instead of α-alumina.

The catalytic activity of the resulting cata-

lyst is shown in Table 1.

Example 6.

The process of Example 3 was repeated

using a pellet-type γ -alumina catalyst supporting 0.5 wt.% of ruthenium and having a diameter of 3 mm and a height of 3 mm.

The catalytic activity of the resulting cata-

lyst is shown in Table 1.

Example 7.

20 ml of the γ -alumina used in Example 3 was immersed in 20 ml of an aqueous solution containing 0.07 g of chloroplatinic acid and 0.062 g of ruthenium chloride at room temperature for 16 hours, and was treated in accordance with Example 3, to give a γ -alumina catalyst supporting 0.2% of platinum and 0.1% of ruthenium.

The catalytic activity of the resulting catalyst was measured in accordance with

Example 3.

The results are shown in Table 1.

Example 8.

10 ml of a γ -alumina catalyst supporting 0.5% of ruthenium (diameter of 3 mm and height of 3 mm) prepared as in Example 6 was placed in a reactor as a first catalytic layer, and 5 ml of Pt-honeycomb-type α -alumina catalyst coated with γ -alumina supporting 0.3% of platinum was added as a second catalytic layer.

The Pt-honeycomb-type catalyst was prepared by dipping a honeycomb carrier made of α -alumina in a γ -alumina slurry and sintering at 800—1,000°C to give α -alumina carrier coated with γ -alumina and dipping the carrier in an aqueous solution of chloroplatinic acid and drying it and then reducing it to 300°C

in a hydrogen atmosphere.

Air containing 1,200 ppm of ammonia at 200°C and 220°C was introduced into the

reactor at a rate of 200 1/hr.

The outlet gas discharged from the reactor was sampled and analyzed and the catalytic activity of the catalyst was measured.

The results are shown in Table 1.

55

55

60

65

70

75

80

O

TABLE 1

| (°C) NH3 NOx N,O 210 163 5 400 220 34 6 490 230 63 15 590 230 63 15 590 240 34 20 730 240 34 20 730 240 149 16 410 230 214 24 500 240 70 40 570 240 156 46 40 250 70 70 40 250 70 70 40 250 70 70 40 250 5 50 250 5 50 250 7 25 50 250 7 25 50 250 7 25 50 250 7 25 50 250 80 80 | | | NIU (inlat) | Reaction | Сотроя | Composition of outlet gas (ppm) | gas (ppm) | NH conversion |
|---|---------|---|-------------|----------|--------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|
| 0.5% Pt/α-At ₁ O ₃ 3000 210 163 5 400 220 34 6 490 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -At ₁ O ₃ 3000 230 63 15 590 0.5% Pt/Yr-At ₂ O ₃ 2700 220 149 16 410 0.5% Pt/Yr-At ₂ O ₃ 2700 230 49 33 570 0.5% Pt/Yr-At ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 214 24 560 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -At ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 30 570 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -At ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 40 40 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -At ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 35 40 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -At ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/y-At ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 35 40 0.5% Ru/y-At ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 6 0.1% Ru/y-At ₂ O ₃ 1200 252 60 18 450 6 0.5% Ru/y-At ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 50 5 6 0.5% Ru/y-At ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 7 25 50 8 0.5% Ru/y-At ₂ O | Example | | (mdd) | (2°) | NH3 | NOx | N,0 | (%) |
| 220 34 6 490 230 63 490 (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) 3000 230 63 15 590 (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 220 149 16 730 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 220 149 16 410 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 214 24 550 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 360 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 360 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 250 170 30 40 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 170 30 40 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 20 41 660 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 170 30 40 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 20 41 660 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 30 80 80 0.5% Pt/Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 20 30 880 | - | <u>L</u> . | 3000 | 210 | 163 | 5 | 400 | 94.4 |
| 1. 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 63 15 590 (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) 3000 230 63 15 590 (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 220 149 16 410 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 214 24 570 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 15 44 560 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 46 46 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 40 60 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 40 60 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 60 60 6.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 5 5 5 5 5 6 6.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 7 25 50 5 6 80 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | | - | | 220 | 34 | . 9 | 490 | 98.7 |
| 1 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 63 15 590 1 (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 220 149 16 410 1 0.5% Pt/Y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 230 214 24 570 1 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 214 24 560 1 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 41 660 1 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 40 1 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 70 440 1 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 1 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 5 55 50 1 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 252 60 18 450 95 1 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 95 | | | | 230 | 9 | 9 | 290 | 5.66 |
| (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) 240 34 20 730 0.5% Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 220 149 16 410 0.5% Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 214 24 560 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 30 570 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 560 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 440 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 250 70 70 440 10.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 15 Ru y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 252 60 18 450 20.3% Pt/ca-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 | 2 | 0.5% Pt/SiO2-A1203 | 3000 | 230 | 63 | 15 | 290 | 97.4 |
| 0.5% Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 220 149 16 410 0.5% Pt/Yr-Al ₂ O ₃ 3000 230 214 24 550 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 30 570 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 660 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 440 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 1200 252 60 18 450 second layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 20 7 25 50 second layer 0.3% Pt/ca-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 | | (low content of Al ₂ O ₃) | | 240 | 34 | 20 | 730 | 98.2 |
| 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ 3000 230 214 24 560 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 30 570 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 560 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 460 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 205 17 85 40 40 205 17 85 40 206 18 121 40 207 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 1200 252 60 18 450 second layer 1200 220 22 30 80 0.3% Pt/a-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 80 80 | 3 | 0.5% Pt/y-Al ₂ O ₃ | 2700 | 220 | 149 | 16 | 410 | 93.9 |
| 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ 3000 230 214 24 560 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 30 570 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 360 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 70 440 440 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 255 60 18 450 first layer of 18 Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 252 60 18 450 second layer of 35% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ coath 1200 220 22 30 80 | | | | 230 | 49 | 33 | 570 | 97.0 |
| 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 70 30 570 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 560 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 60.1% Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 255 60 18 450 first layer 60.1% Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 252 60 18 450 second layer 1200 220 22 30 80 (γ-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) 1200 220 22 80 80 | 4 | 0.5% Pt/SiO, | 3000 | 230 | 214 | 24 | 999 | 92.1 |
| 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 360 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 240 156 46 360 0.5% Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 205 17 85 40 40 0.2% Pt 2700 220 5 95 50 60.1% Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 252 60 18 450 first layer 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 1200 220 7 25 50 0.3% Pt/a-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) 1200 220 30 80 | | • | | 240 | 70 | 30 | 270 | 1.96 |
| 0.5% Pt/SiO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 240 156 46 360 (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 2700 184 121 32 40 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 205 17 85 40 220 5 95 50 60.2% Pt 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 0.1% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 (y-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) (y-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) 1200 220 22 30 80 | | | | 250 | 20 | 41 | 099 | 98.0 |
| (high content of Al ₂ O ₃) 250 70 70 440 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 205 17 85 40 40 20,2% Pt 220 5 95 50 0.1% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 1200 220 22 30 80 (y-Al ₂ O ₃ , coat) (y-Al ₂ O ₃ , coat) 1200 220 22 30 80 | 5 | 0.5% Pt/SiO,-A1,03 | 2700 | 240 | 156 | 46 | 360 | 93.3 |
| 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 184 121 32 40 205 17 85 40 220 5 95 50 0.2% Pt 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 | | (high content of Al2O3) | | 250 | 70 | 70 | 440 | 95.3 |
| 0.2% Pt 17 85 40 0.1% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 1200 220 22 30 80 (y-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) 1200 220 22 30 80 | و | 0,5% Ru/y-Al,O ₃ | 2700 | 184 | 121 | 32 | 40 | 94.3 |
| 0.2% Pt 0.2% Pt 5 95 50 0.1% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 0.3% Pt/a-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 | | | | 205 | 17 | 85 | 40 | 96.2 |
| 0.2% Pt 0.1% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 2700 252 60 18 450 first layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 0.3% Pt/a-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 | | | | 220 | 5 | 95 | 20 | 96.3 |
| first layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 200 7 25 50 second layer 0.3% Pt/a-Al ₂ O ₃ 1200 220 22 30 80 (y-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) | 7 | 0.2% Pt 0.1% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ | 2700 | 252 | 09 | 18 | 450 | 97.1 |
| 1200 220 22 30 80 | | first layer 0.5% Ru/y-Al ₂ O ₃ | 1200 | 200 | 7 | 25 | 20 | 97.3 |
| | | second layer 0.3% Pt/a-Al ₂ O ₃ (y-Al ₂ O ₃ coat) | 1200 | 220 | 22 | 30 | 80 | 95.3 |

reactor at a rate of 8000 l/hr.

25 The outlet gas discharged from the reactor was sampled and analyzed and the catalytic activity of the catalyst was measured. The results are shown in Table 2.

Example 9-2.

8 Air containing about 2500 ppm of ammonia was treated in accordance with the process of Example 9-1, using 200 ml of the ruthenium The results are shown in Table 2. catalyst alone.

35 Air containing about 2300 ppm of ammonia was treated in accordance with the process of Example 9—1, using only 400 ml of the The results are shown in Table 2. Example 9-3. platinum type catalyst.

| | Catalyst | | Space velocity (hr-1) | Temperature in reactor | rature | NH, | NH, (ppm) | NO _x (mdd) | O ^z N Omdd) |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | component | volume (ml) | | inlet | outlet | inlet | outlet | | |
| 0 | 1st layer 0.5% Ru.'y-alumina | 200 | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | 2nd layer 0.5% Pt/y-alumina | 200 | 20,000 | 237 | 257 | 2500 | S | 28 | 250 |
| 9-2 | 9-2 0.5% Ru/y-alumina | 200 | 40,000 | 220 | 248 | 2500 | 280 | 95 | 80 |
| | | | | 233 | 255 | 2500 | 170 | 150 | . 115 |
| 9-3 | 0.5% Pt/y-alumina | 400 | 20,000 | 228 | 253 | 2300 | 5.2 | 15.5 | 530 |

hydrazine and then washed with water and dried to give a γ -alumina catalyst supporting about 0.5 wt.% of platinum.

200 ml of γ -alumina was immersed in 200 ml of an aqueous solution containing 400 ml of y-alumina as used in Example 3 tion containing 4.587 g of chloroplatinic acid for 24 hours. The product was reduced with was immersed in 400 ml of an aqueous solu-

S

4.0 g of ruthenium chloride for 24 hours. The product was reduced with hydrazine and then washed with water and dried to give a γ -alumina catalyst supporting about 0.5 wt.% of ruthenium. 200 ml of the ruthenium catalyst was placed in a reactor made of stainless steel as a first catalyst layer and 400 ml 2 15

of the platinum-type catalyst was added as

a second catalyst layer. Air containing about 2500 ppm of ammonia was introduced in the

ន

30

WHAT WE CLAIM IS:-

1. A method of decomposing ammonia to form nitrogen which comprises catalytically contacting a gas mixture containing ammonia and oxygen, the molar ratio of oxygen to ammonia being 3:1 or more, with a ruthenium and/or a platinum catalyst at 150—400°C.

2. A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the gas mixture is catalytically contacted first with a ruthenium catalyst and then with a platinum catalyst.

3. A method as claimed in claim 1 or claim 2, wherein the amount of ammonia in the gas mixture is 2% or less by yolume of the total gas.

4. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the catalyst is used with a gas flow at a space velocity of 1,000—100,000 hr—1

5. A method as claimed in claims 2 to 4, wherein the molar ratio NH_3/NO_x in the gas after passing through the first catalyst layer is in the range 1—10:1.

6. A method as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the ruthenium and/or platinum is supported on a carrier in an amount within the range 0.01—2% by weight of the carrier.

7. A method as claimed in claim 1 sub-

 A method as claimed in claim 1 substantially as described herein with reference to any one of the Examples.

> R. G. C. JENKINS & CO., Chartered Patent Agents, Chancery House, 53/64 Chancery Lane, London, WC2A 1QU. Agents for the Applicants.

Printed for Her Majesty's Stationery Office by the Courier Press, Learnington Spa, 1976.
Published by the Patent Office, 25 Southampton Buildings, London, WC2A 1AY, from which copies may be obtained.